

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/674,464	10/01/2003	Benjamin Chu	239709US23	5537
•		·		
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			EXAMINER	
			TENTONI, LEO B	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1732	
		•	NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/30/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/674,464	CHU ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Leo B. Tentoni	1732
The MAILING DATE of this communication and Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	COMMUNICATION OF THIS	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) T 3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the cond	his action is non-final. wance except for formal mat	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-14 and 18-40 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) 37-40 is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1-14 and 18-36 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.	·
Application Papers		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corn 11) ☑ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar rection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	application No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	_	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 05012006.	Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/674,464

Art Unit: 1732

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 12 April 2007 has been entered.

Election/Restrictions

2. Claims 37-40 remain withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 05 July 2005.

Oath/Declaration

3. The oath or declaration is defective. A new oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.67(a) identifying this application by application number and filing date is required. See MPEP §§ 602.01 and 602.02.

The oath or declaration is defective because:

Application/Control Number: 10/674,464 Page 3

Art Unit: 1732

The specification to which the oath or declaration is directed has not been adequately identified. See MPEP § 602.

Note that the title is missing from the declaration.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary.

 Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 1732

6. Claims 1-14 and 18-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reneker (U.S. Patent 6,695,992 B2) in combination with Gravett et al (U.S. Patent Application Publication 2004/0146546 A1).

Reneker (see the entire document, in particular, col. 3, lines 39-65; col. 10, lines 5-8 and 36-56) teaches a process of making fibers by electroblowing as claimed, except that Reneker does not explicitly teach making fibers from hyaluronan polymer, which is taught by Gravett et al (see the entire document, in particular, paragraphs [0021], [0083], [0087], [0098], [0099] and [0116]) and such would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made in the process of Reneker in view of Gravett et al principally in order to manufacture a desired product from a biological polymer. Note that there is a reasonable expectation of success in using hyaluronan polymer in the process of Reneker principally because Gravett et al teaches making fibers of hyaluronan (e.g., hyaluronic acid) by electrospinning or melt-blowing.

7. Claims 1-14 and 18-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kim et al (U.S. Patent Application Publication 2005/0067732 Al) in combination with Gravett et al (U.S. Patent Application Publication 2004/0146546 Al).

Art Unit: 1732

Kim et al (see the entire document, in particular, paragraphs [0006], [0017] and [0020]) teaches a process of making fibers by electroblowing as claimed, except that Kim et al does not explicitly teach making fibers from hyaluronan polymer, which is taught by Gravett et al (see the entire document, in particular, paragraphs [0021], [0083], [0087], [0098], [0099] and [0116]) and such would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made in the process of Kim et al in view of Gravett et al principally in order to manufacture a desired product from a thermoplastic polymer. Note that there is a reasonable expectation of success in using hyaluronan polymer in the process of Kim et al principally because Gravett et al teaches making fibers of hyaluronan (e.g., hyaluronic acid) by electrospinning or melt-blowing.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-14 and 18-36 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leo B. Tentoni whose telephone number is (571) 272-1209. The examiner

Application/Control Number: 10/674,464

Art Unit: 1732

can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (6:30 A.M. - 3:00 P.M.).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina A. Johnson can be reached on (571) 272-1176. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Leo B. Senton

Page 6

Leo B. Tentoni Primary Examiner Art Unit 1732